Why switch to DeviceKit-power?

August 2008 Richard Hughes, Software Engineer, Red Hat



Executive Summary

- DeviceKit-power is a new system daemon that uses the DeviceKit framework and reports power related information to desktop clients and other system services. It allows the user to interact with power devices in the system, for instance suspending the system or disabling UPS outputs.
- DeviceKit-power is designed to compliment the other DeviceKit daemons such as DeviceKit-disks so that the power reporting functionality can be removed from HAL.
- DeviceKit-power is nearly complete.



5000ft Overview

- System activated system daemon
- Replaces HAL power management functionality
 - UPS devices
 - Laptop batteries and AC adapters
 - Wireless mice and keyboards
- Moves a lot of the profiling and statistics processing down from session clients such as gnome-power-manager.



Why switch away from HAL

- HAL is a very successful, stable component that keeps having horrible code pushed into it to make hardware work
- Hal is unloved right now, as it's so complex
- Desktop clients need a thick wrapper to actually get sensible data from HAL
 - Multi-battery laptops?
 - Servers with more than one UPS



Why switch away from HAL (II)

- HAL was written in the days before DBUS bindings
 - DBUS marshalling is clunky and huuuge.
- Having two device trees (udev -> HAL) means we have to essentially coldplug twice
 - Adding 3 seconds on every boot of my laptop
 - Adding minutes to servers with large arrays of disks

🥮. **red**hat.

Why switch away from HAL (III)

- Applications need to know the power state
 - e.g. does beagle start indexing, or are we on battery power?
 - HAL doesn't make this easy
 - Enumerate devices of {battery, ac_adapter} classes, get different properties on the devices, apply metric for broken hardware and then exclude non-supply devices.
 - Consequently most apps do this wrong, or just chicken out and read /proc/acpi/battery/*/state
 - This needs to be much simpler



Move down from the session?

- gnome-power-manager does time profiling
 - Works great, but no data available when at GDM
 - Multiple clients collecting and storing the same data



What can we do already?

```
[hughsie@hughsie-work DeviceKit-power]$ devkit-power --dump
Device: /devices/line power AC
 power supply:
                   no
                 Tue Aug 19 13:32:52 2008 (3 seconds ago)
 updated:
line-power
  online:
                ves
Device: /devices/battery BAT0
                 SANYO
 vendor:
                42T4504
 model:
                 Tue Aug 19 13:32:52 2008 (3 seconds ago)
 updated:
 battery
  rechargeable:
                    yes
                fully-charged
  state:
                 51.51 Wh
  energy:
  energy-empty:
                    0 Wh
                  51.55 Wh
  energy-full:
  energy-full-design: 56.16 Wh
  energy-rate:
                   0 W
                   99.9224%
  percentage:
  capacity:
                 100%
                  lithium-ion
  technology:
Device: /devices/mouse 1 4x4
 native-path:
                  /sys/devices/pci0000:00/0000:00:1a.7/usb1/1-4/1-4.4
 vendor:
                 Logitech, Inc.
 model:
                MX1000 Laser Mouse
                 Tue Aug 19 13:32:53 2008 (2 seconds ago)
 updated:
 mouse
  rechargeable:
                    yes
  state:
                discharging
  percentage:
                   100%
```



FDI merging made simple

 ATTR{idVendor}=="046d", ATTR{idProduct}=="c502", ENV{ID_PRODUCT}="Dual Receiver", ENV{DKP_BATTERY_TYPE}="mouse", ENV{DKP_CSR_DUAL}="1"

• Using udev is simpler and quicker than XML hierarchal FDI files, even with a cache



Feature parity with HAL

- We can already do 80% of what HAL does
 - CSR, HID, power_supply
- Does not monitor obsolete kernel subsystems, for instance /dev/pmu or /dev/apm



Still left to do

- udev based sleep quirks
- Package up for Fedora
- Split the graphing stuff out from gnome-powermanager and push into DeviceKit-power-gnome
- Time profiling system wide (50% complete)
- Write migration document and start migrating applications
- Integration with *pm_qos* for low latency control?

